Nest architecture, eggs, nestlings and taxonomic affinities of the Ornate flycatcher (Myiotriccus ornatus)

La arquitectura del nido, los huevos, los polluelos y las afinidades taxonómicas del Atrapamoscas Adornado (Myiotriccus ornatus)

Harold F. Greeney¹, Alejandro Solano-Ugalde^{2,3} & Gustavo A. Londoño^{4,5}

¹Yanayacu Biological Station and Center for_Creative Studies, Quito, Ecuador

² Natural History of Ecuador Mainland Avifauna Group, Quito, Ecuador

³Fundación Imaymana, Nayón, Quito, Ecuador

⁴ Florida Museum of Natural History and Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

⁵ Current address: Biology Department, University of California, Riverside, California, USA

≥ revmmoss@yahoo.com, jhalezion@gmail.com, galondo2010@gmail.com

Abstract

The taxonomic position of Ornate Flycatcher (Myiotriccus ornatus) within the Tyrannidae has long been uncertain. The only nest description available suggested that the nest was an open cup but no formal, detailed description of its nest and eggs has been made. We describe aspects of the breeding biology of Ornate Flycatcher based on four nests found in Ecuador and three in Peru. Nests are spherical, mossy balls with a side entrance which are affixed by the back to a solid substrate, 0.8 to 5 m above the ground. Nest attachment and architecture support a close relationship with Nephelomyias and also suggest affinities to the Pipromorphine clade (Tyrannidae), which includes Corythopis, Pseudotriccus, Mionectes, Leptopogon and Phylloscartes. In particular, the nest and nestling of Myiotriccus are most similar to those of Phylloscartes and Pseudotriccus. Egg coloration, white with cinnamon markings, is also very similar to Nephelomyias but suggests possibly closer relationships to other genera in this group. We conclude that available information on the natural history of Myiotriccus provides general support for recent molecular data, but note that more complete genetic sampling of some genera could help to better understand its relationships.

Key words: eggs, *Myiotriccus ornatus*, nest architecture, nestlings, taxonomy

Resumen

١ttp://asociacioncolombianadeornitologia.org/revista-ornitologia-colombiana/

La posición taxonómica del Mosquerito Adornado (Myiotriccus ornatus) dentro de Tyrannidae ha sido incierta por mucho tiempo. La única descripción del nido sugiere que el nido es una copa abierta, sin embargo no se ha presentado una descripción formal del nido y huevos. Describimos aspectos de la biología reproductiva del Mosquerito Adornado con base en cuatro nidos encontrados en Ecuador y tres en Perú. Los nidos son estructuras esféricas de musgo con entrada lateral, fijadas por detrás a un sustrato sólido, entre 0.8 y 5 m sobre el suelo. El anclaje y la arquitectura del nido sugieren afinidades cercanas con Nephelomyias y también una afinidad cercana con el clado Pipromorphinae (Tyrannidae), el cual incluye a Corythopis, Pseudotriccus, Mionectes, Leptopogon y Phylloscartes. En particular, el nido y los polluelos de Myiotriccus son más similares a los de Phylloscartes y Pseudotriccus. La coloración de los huevos, blanco con manchas canela, también es similar a los de Nephelomyias, pero sugiere afinidades más estrechas con otros géneros del grupo. Concluimos que la información disponible sobre la historia natural de Myiotriccus en general provee un soporte a los datos moleculares publicados recientemente, pero notamos que información genética más completa de algunos géneros podrían ayudar a entender mejor sus afinidades.

Palabras clave: arquitectura del nido, huevos, polluelos, Myiotriccus ornatus, taxonomía

Introduction

(Myiotriccus ornatus) inhabits the understory of montane forests from Colombia to Peru, generally The flashy and enigmatic Ornate Flycatcher at elevations from 600 to 2300 m (Fitzpatrick

Artículo

2004). The nominate subspecies occurs in the Central Andes and the west slope of the Eastern Andes of Colombia, stellatus occurs in western Colombia and Ecuador, phoenicurus is found east of the Andes from southern Colombia to northern Peru, and *aureiventris* replaces *phoenicurus* in eastern Peru south of the Marañón River (Fitzpatrick 2004). The taxonomic affinities of this monotypic genus have long been uncertain, with conflicting molecular, morphological, and natural history data causing authors to continually debate its position within the tyrannid phylogeny (Zimmer 1939, Lanyon 1988, Fitzpatrick 2004; recent genetic data (Ohlson et al. 2008, Tello et al. 2009) have established that Myiotriccus is closely related to the recently described genus Nephelornis (Ohlson et al. 2009), but its affinities to other possibly related genera remain unclear. Until now, the breeding biology of Ornate Flycatcher was known only from a Colombian nest described as a "moss and fine rootlet cup, well concealed 1.3 m up on steep earth bank" (Hilty & Brown 1986). We provide information from four Ecuadorian and three Peruvian nests which expand upon this description, as well as providing descriptions of the eggs and nestlings, in order to specify in more detail its taxonomic affinities.

Materials and methods

We encountered and studied all nests opportunistically during general searches for avian nests. We found three nests of *M. o. stellatus* in the western Ecuadorian province of Pichincha, two in the vicinity of Mindo (*ca.* 00°04′S, 78°43′W, 1550 m), and the other in Reserva Inti Llacta (00°03′N, 78° 42′W, 1800 m). We found a fourth Ecuadorian nest (ssp. *phoenicurus*) in eastern Ecuador at the reserve of the Proyecto de Conservación del Río Bigal, administered by Fundación Ecológica Sumac Muyu, near Loreto (00°38′S, 77°19′W, 600 m, Napo Province). We studied three additional nests (ssp. *aureiventris*) in eastern Peru, Manu National Park, Tono sector, Cusco Department. The *M. o.* *aureiventris* nests were found near a station located adjacent to the Tono river (12° 58' S; 71° 34'W, 950 m). In Peru, GAL began nest searching on 15 August 2010, and used a temperature-sensitive data logger to monitor nest activity at several nests. We took linear measurements of the eggs to the nearest 0.1 mm and linear measurements of nests to the nearest 0.5 cm. A nestling from the first Mindo nest was photographed.

Results

Nesting dates.- On 2 July 2011 at Inti Llacta, ASU found a nest with two eggs, both of which had hatched by our return on 12 July, at which time the nestlings had partially developed contour feathers. On 19 July 2011 HFG found a nest at Mindo which contained a newly hatched nestling (estimated 0-1 days old) and an unhatched egg which we believe to have been addled. Two days later, at the same location HFG found a second nest which was under construction and appeared nearly complete. At Río Bigal, on 2 July 2011, an adult was adding material to a nest which HFG estimated to be about two-thirds complete. GAL found the first *M. ornatus* nest on 8 September with two developed eggs, but it was depredated on 11 September at 21:00. He found the second nest on 16 September with two developed eggs. The eggs hatched on 22 September and GAL observed the young nestlings for the first time on 26 September. The nestlings had their eyes closed, pink skin, and sparse natal down. Also in Peru, GAL found a third nest on 9 September with two developed eggs which was depredated on 21 September at 10:47. Nestling measurements are not available from Peru

Nests.– (Fig. 1) The Inti Llacta nest was located in a *Cichona* sp. tree, adhered by the back to the main trunk, ca. 3 m above the ground. It was well camouflaged, as the surrounding portions of the tree were covered with dense moss and epiphytes. The nest entrance was 4 cm in diameter and near-



Figure 1. A nest of Ornate Flycatcher *(Myiotriccus ornatus)* 21 July 2011 above Mindo, Pichincha Province, Ecuador, 1550 m (Photo H. F. Greeney).

ly circular. Externally the nest material blended well with the surrounding moss, and we suspect that it was built into a pre-existing, natural cavity in the moss. We did not take any further measurements. The first Mindo nest, found on 19 July, was 5 m above the ground and similarly affixed by the back to a large tree and built into a large clump of mossy epiphytes which was roughly three times the width of the nest. Although the mossy exterior of the nest blended well with the surrounding epiphytes, it was clear that the entire globular nest had been built by the adults. Externally, the nest was 15 cm wide, 12 cm tall, and 8 cm from frontto-back. The entrance was 3.5 cm wide and 3 cm tall, and was shaded by a 3 cm overhang. Internally, the nesting chamber was 5.5 cm wide and 8 cm tall, with a compactly built egg cup measuring 4.5 cm wide by 3 cm deep. The egg cup was also built of moss, but of a different, drier type than the ex-

ternal portions of the nest. The second Mindo nest was 3 m above the ground and built into a clump of moss dangling 15 cm below a large branch. The hanging moss was slightly narrower than the nest, and extended an additional 50 cm below the bottom of the globular nest structure. The Río Bigal nest was 5 m above the ground and attached to the trunk of an *Iriartea deltoidea* palm tree in a similar fashion to the first two nests described above. We were unable to record any further information for this nest. All three Peruvian nests were also mossy domes attached to vertical tree trunks by the back, one on a palm tree near a gap in the forest canopy and the other two along a small creek. The domed nests were externally built of moss, but the inner cup was made of tightly-compacted, fine grasses and fibers. On average (± SD) the measurements of the nest entrances were 3.9 ± 0.3 cm x 3.8 ± 1.1 cm and the walls of the dome portion were 2.6 \pm 0.2 cm thick. Internally, the mean distance from the entrance lip to the back of the nest was 5.9 \pm 1.0 cm and the egg cups were 3.4 ± 0.2 cm deep. Externally, mean nest measurements were 10.2 ± 2.2 cm front-toback, 8.2 \pm 1.4 cm wide and 11.4 \pm 1.9 cm tall. The nests had additional moss hanging below the dome that was, on average, 8.5 ± 3.2 cm in length. The average height above ground of these three nests was 1.9 ± 1.3 m. The mean height of all described nests (n = 8 including that described in Hilty & Brown (1986) is 2.9 ± 1.5 m.

Eggs and nestlings.– All eggs examined closely (n = 9) were cream colored or off-white, with small, sparse, cinnamon flecks and spots, heaviest at the larger end (Fig. 5q). The two eggs from the nest at Inti Llacta measured 17.0 x 12.8 mm and 16.9 x 12.9 mm. The single egg measured from Mindo was 17.0 x 13.4 mm. Peruvian eggs (n = 6) ranged in size from 16.8-19.6 mm long and 13.1-14.2 mm wide (mean \pm SD = 18.3 \pm 1.0 x 13.8 \pm 0.4 mm). Mean measurements of all eggs were 17.9 \pm 1.1 x 13.5 \pm 0.5 mm.

Greeney et al.



Figure 2. Nests of Neotropical Tyrannidae: (A) Sulphur-rumped Flycatcher (*Myiobius sulphureipygius*) 18 May 2011, San Cristobal, Guanacaste, Costa Rica; (B) Whiskered Flycatcher (*Myiobius barbatus*) 26 August 2003, Tiputini Biodiversity Station, Orellana, Ecuador; (C) Royal Flycatcher (*Onychorynchus coronatus*) 16 April 2011, La Selva Biological Station, Costa Rica; (D) Rufous-breasted Flycatcher (*Leptopogon rufipectus*) 15 September 2006, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; (E) Cinnamon Flycatcher (*Pyrrhomyias cinnamomea*) 29 November 2004, Tapichalaca Biological Reserve, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador (Photos H. F. Greeney).



Figure 3. Nests of Neotropical Tyrannidae: (A) Ochre-bellied Flycatcher (*Mionectes oleagineus*) 9 March 2011, Río Frío, Costa Rica; (B) Olive-striped Flycatcher (*Mionectes olivaceus*) 31 March 2005, Mushullacta, Napo, Ecuador; (C) Rufous-headed Pygmy-Tyrant (*Pseudotriccus ruficeps*) 8 October 2004, Tapichalaca Biological Reserve, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador; (D) Marble-faced Bristle-Tyrant (*Phylloscartes ophthalmicus*) 30 September 2007, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; (E) Ornate Flycatcher (*Myiotriccus ornatus*) 21 July 2011, above Mindo, Pichincha Province, Ecuador, 1550 m (Photos H. F. Greeney).



Figure 4. A nest of Ochraceous-breasted Flycatcher *(Nephelomyias ochraceiventris),* 21 October 2008, Centro de Investigación Wayqecha, Cuzco, Peru, 3070 m (Photo G. A. Londoño).

Discussion

Until now, the nest of *M. ornatus* was thought to be cup-shaped, based on the brief description of a Colombian nest in Hilty& Brown (1986). Steve Hilty (pers. comm), however, informs us that his original notes on this nest (17 March 1973) describe it as a "cup formed inside a ball of moss." With this clarified description, it appears that apart from its location on a low bank, this nest was similar in construction to those described here.

The new natural history information presented here for *Myiotriccus ornatus* provides us with further evidence concerning its taxonomic affinities. Early authors considered the Ornate Flycatcher a member of the genus Myiobius (Taczanowski 1884, Sclater 1888), the members of which share many plumage characteristics with *Myiotriccus*, but it was subsequently placed in the currently monotypic genus *Myiotriccus* (Ridgway 1905, Chapman 1917, Cory & Hellmayr 1927). Traylor (1979) placed Myiotriccus near Onychorhynchus, Terenotriccus and Myiobius in his generic order. Subsequently, Lanyon (1988) placed Myiotriccus close to Myiophobus within his Phylloscartes group. Finally, based on molecular evidence, Ohlson et al. (2008) and Tello et al. 2009) placed *Myiotriccus* in a clade with *Pyrrhomias* and *Hirundinea,* suggesting a particularly close relationship with the Ochraceous-breasted Flycatcher (Nephelomyia ochraceiventris), recently segregated from the genus *Myiobius* by Ohlson *et* al. (2008). Below we discuss these following options in light of the observations presented here.

Nests.- The pendant nests of Myiobius (Cherrie 1895, Skutch 1960, Greeney & Gelis 2008; Figs. 2a, b) and *Terenotriccus* (Skutch 1960, Kirwan 2009) are very similar in placement and architecture to each other, and are also similar to Onychorhynchus (Haverschmidt 1962, Whittingham 1994; Fig. 2c), but all of these differ considerably from that of *Myiotriccus* (Figs. 1 & 3). Nests of *Myiophobus* are open cups suspended by their rims (Skutch 1960, Greeney et al. 2005b). Similarly, the nests of Pyrrhomias (Collins & Ryan 1995; Fig. 2e) and Hirundinea (Euler 1900), though similar to each other, are strongly divergent in form from those of Myiotriccus (Figs. 1 & 3e). The nest of Myiotriccus appears most similar to nests of *Phylloscartes* and related genera in the Pipromorphine clade (sensu Ohlson et al. 2008, Rheindt et al. 2008), all of which clearly build enclosed nests (Leptopogon, Belcher & Smooker 1937, Aguilar 2001, Dobbs & Greeney 2006, Fig. 2d; Mionectes, Willis et al. 1978, Bencke 1995, Aguilar et al. 2000, Figs. 3a, b; Corythopis, Oniki & Willis 1980, Simon & Pacheco 1996; *Pseudotriccus,* Greeney *et al.* 2005, Greeney

Breeding biology of Myiotriccus ornatus



Figure 5. Eggs of Neotropical Tyrannidae: (A) Rufousbreasted Flycatcher (Leptopogon rufipectus) 31 October 2006, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; (B) Slatycapped Flycatcher (Leptopogon superciliaris) 17 July 2011, above Mindo, Pichincha Province, Ecuador, 1550 m; (C) Bronze-olive Pygmy-Tyrant (Pseudotriccus pelzelni), 3 December 2002, Pacto Sumaco, Napo Province, Ecuador; (D) Streak-necked Flycatcher (Mionectes striaticollis) 24 February 2003, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; (E) Olivestriped Flycatcher (Mionectes olivaceus) 5 November 2012, Narupa, Napo Province, Ecuador, 1100 m; (F) Rufous-headed Pygmy-Tyrant (Pseudotriccus ruficeps) 8 October 2004, Tapichalaca Biological Reserve, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador; (G) Ochre-bellied Flycatcher (Mionectes oleagineus) 9 March 2011, Río Frío, Heredia Province, Costa Rica; (H) Sulphur-

rumped Flycatcher (Myiobius sulphureipygius) 18 May 2011, San Cristobal, Guanacaste, Costa Rica; (I) Whiskered Flycatcher (Myiobius barbatus) 26 August 2003, Tiputini Biodiversity Station, Orellana, Ecuador; (J) Olive-chested Flycatcher (Myiophobus cryptoxanthus) 19 February 2005, Mushullacta, Napo, Ecuador; (K) Bran-colored Flycatcher (Myiophobus fasciatus) 17 March 2005, Yungilla, Azuay, Ecuador; (L) Tawny-breasted Flycatcher (Myiobius villosus), 19 March 1988 (J. M. Carrion; WFVZ-157317 collection), Narupa, Napo Province, Ecuador; (M) Cinnamon Flycatcher (Pyrrhomyias cinnamomea) 5 January 2004, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; (N) Royal Flycatcher (Onychorhynchus coronatus) 17 May 2011, Santa Rosa, Guanacaste, Costa Rica; **(O)** Ruddy-tailed Flycatcher (Terenotriccus erythrurus), 2 October 2012, Shiripuno Research Station, Pastaza Province, Ecuador, 220 m; (P) Ochraceous-breasted Flycatcher (Nephelomyias ochraceiventris), 21 October 2008, Centro de Investigación Wayqecha, Cuzco, Peru, 3070 m; (Q) Ornate Flycatcher (Myiotriccus ornatus) 21 July 2011, above Mindo, Pichincha Province, Ecuador, 1550 m (All photos H. F. Greeney except (P) N. ochraceiventris by G. A. Londoño).

2006, Fig. 3c; *Phylloscartes*, Remold & Ramos-Neto 1995, Kirwan *et al.* 2004, Greeney 2009, Kirwan *et al.* 2010, Fig. 3d). In particular, with respect to mode of attachment (generally affixed to a stable substrate), the nests of *Miotriccus* are remarkably similar to those of *Pseudotriccus* (Fig. 3c), at least some species of *Phylloscartes* (Fig. 3d), and to that described for *Nephelomyias ochraceiventris* (Peralta *et al.* 2011; Fig. 4).

Eggs.– The eggs of *Myiotriccus,* being marked with cinnamon flecking (Fig. 5q), tell a slightly different story. They are very similar to the photograph of the eggs of *N. ochraceiventris* in Peralta *et al.* (2011), supporting the close relationship suggested by Tello *et al.* (2009). However, with the exception of *Corythopis* (Simon & Pacheco 1996), eggs described for *Phylloscartes* and related genera are unmarked (Dabbene 1919, Belcher & Smooker 1937, Greeney 2006, 2009; Greeney *et al.* 2006, Kirwan *et al.* 2010, Figs. 5a-g). In contrast,



Figure 6. Nestlings of Neotropical Tyrannidae, photographed on the day of hatching except for **(A)** and **(C)**, which are 2 and 1 days old, respectively: **(A)** Cinnamon Flycatcher *(Pyrrhomyias cinnamomea)* 22 October 2003, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; **(B)** Bran-colored Flycatcher *(Myiophobus fasciatus)* 21 February 2004, Buenaventura Biological Reserve, El Oro, Ecuador; **(C)** Sulphur-rumped Flycatcher *(Myiobius sulphureipygius)* 10 February 2004, Buenaventura Biological Reserve, El Oro, Ecuador; **(D)** Rufous-breasted Flycatcher *(Leptopogon rufipectus)* 11 November 2002, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; **(E)** Olive-striped Flycatcher *(Mionectes olivaceus)* 13 April 2005, Mushullacta, Napo, Ecuador; **(F)** Streaknecked Flycatcher *(Mionectes striaticollis)* 4 April 2004, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; **(G)** Rufous-headed Pygmy-Tyrant *(Pseudotriccus ruficeps)* 5 November 2006, Yanayacu Biological Station, Napo, Ecuador; **(H)** Ornate Flycatcher *(Myiotriccus ornatus)* 21 July 2011, above Mindo, Pichincha Province, Ecuador, 1550 m (Photos H. F. Greeney).

eggs of Myiobius (Skutch 1960, Greeney & Gelis Table 1. Comparative table of qualitative similarities and Figs. 4j, k), *Pyrrhomias* (Collins & Ryan 1995; Fig. 5m), and *Hirundinea* (Euler 1900) are all white (or off-white; Myiophobus) and bear varying degrees of cinnamon or brown markings.

Nestlings.- Nestling appearance is the leastdocumented aspect of the genera in question. For all species with nestling descriptions available, however, all except for *Myiobius* (Fig. 6c), *Tereno*triccus, and Onychorhynchus (see Skutch 1960) are born with some amount of natal down. The nestlings of *Pyrrhomias* (Collins & Ryan 1995; Fig. 6a) are born with considerably shorter and denser down plumes than those of other genera under consideration. Myiophobus nestlings hatch with long, wispy natal down (Fig. 6b), but it is sparser than on nestlings of considerably Mionectes (Skutch 1960, Figs. 6e, f), Leptopogon (Fig. 6d), and *Pseudotriccus* (Greeney et al. 2005a, Greeney 2006; Fig. 6g). Qualitatively, as illustrated in Figure 6, it appears to us that the natal down of Myiotriccus (Fig. 6h) is most similar to that of Pseudotriccus. Simon & Pacheco (1996) do not mention down in their description of the nestling of Corythopis and, unfortunately, the nestlings of Nephelomyias spp. remain unknown (Farnsworth et al. 2016).

Conclusions

In summary (see Table 1), following the terminology of Simon & Pacheco (2006), the Ornate Flycatcher builds a "closed/globular/lateral" nest, a qualitative category which also applies to nests of Pseudotriccus (Greeney 2006), at least some species of *Phylloscartes* (Bertoni 1901, Greeney 2009) and to that of Nephelomyias ochraceiventris (Peralta et al. 2011). This architecture is clearly divergent from the "low-cup/base" nests of Pyrrhomias (Collins & Ryan 1995) and Hirundinea (Euler

2008; Figs. 5h, i, l), Terenotriccus (Skutch 1960; Fig. differences between the nest, eggs, and nestlings of 50), Onychorhynchus (Skutch 1960, Haverschmidt Myiotriccus ornatus and putative relatives. The numbers of 1962; Fig. 4n), Myiophobus (Greeney et al. 2005; +/- symbols suggest the relative strength of similarities or dissimilarities; a ? indicates a lack of data for the genus in question.

Genus	Nest architecture	Nest placement	Egg	Nestling
Myiobius	_	_	+	
Terenotriccus	_	_	+	
Onychorhynchus	_	_	+	
Myiophobus			+	+
Pyrrhomyias			+ +	+
Hirundinea			+	?
Phylloscartes	+ +	+	_	+?
Leptopogon	+	_	_	+
Mionectes	+	_	_	+
Corythopis	+		+	?
Pseudotriccus	+ +	+ +	_	+ +
Nephelomyias	+ +	+ +	+ + +	?

1900), and demonstrates that Hilty & Brown's (1986) oft-cited description of an apparently similar low-cup/base nest was incomplete, in fact misleading. For the other genera compared here which also build enclosed nests, the nest of Ornate Flycatcher differs from the "closed/retort/pensile" nests of Myiobius, Terenotriccus, and Ornychorhynchus (Skutch 1960), the "closed/long/ pensile" nests of Mionectes (Greeney et al. 2005), and the "closed/globular/pensile" nests of Leptopogon (Moore 1944, Dobbs & Greeney 2006). Other than *Phylloscartes* and *Pseudotriccus*, the most similar category of closed nest builders are the nests of *Corythopis* (Simon & Pacheco 1996), considered to be in the category "closed/furnace/ base" (Simon & Pacheco 2006). It has not escaped our notice, however, that this nest form could also be considered closed/globular in form, and broadly fits into the category of non-pensile, making it somewhat similar to the nest of *Myiotric*cus. Similarly, though relevant characters may not yet have been identified (Collins 2010), the nestlings of *Myiotriccus* described here are qualitatively most similar to those of *Pseudotriccus* (Greeney

http://asociacioncolombianadeornitologia.org/

2006, Greeney *et al.* 2005a).

Nest architecture is thought to provide useful char- ogists), Louis Agassiz Fuertes Award (Wilson Orniacters for generating and testing phylogenetic hy- thological Society), and Alexander Wetmore Award potheses at multiple taxonomic scales within the (American Ornithologists' Union) and The Compavian phylogeny (Ihering 1904, Sheldon & Winkler ton Fellowship (University of Florida). GAL also 1999, Zyskowski & Prum 1999, Dobbs et al. 2003, thanks the SERNAP for allowing him to work in Miller & Greeney 2008, Zyskowski & Greeney Manu National Park, and C. Bota, J. Garizábal and 2010). Similarly, other natural history characters M.A. Loaiza for finding and monitoring the nests. such as vocalizations, behavior, and nestling pterylosis are frequently useful for evaluating and test- Literature cited ing taxonomic relatednesss (Prum 1990, Isler *et al.* 1998, 2009; Areta 2007, Collins 2010). Thus, we feel AGUILAR, T. M. 2001. Biologia reprodutiva e seleção de habitat that egg coloration, nest architecture, and nestling appearance support the placement of *Myiotriccus* closest to *Nephelomyias* and, other than egg coloration, suggest phylogenetic affinities to Phylloscartes and Pseudotriccus (Table 1). However, Aguilar, T. M., M. Maldonado-Coelho & M. Â. Marini.2000. because nests, eggs and nestlings of many species of *Phylloscartes* remain undescribed, and genetic data are lacking for many species in some puta- ARETA, J. I. 2007. Behavior and phylogenetic position of Premtively related genera, we cannot rule out one or more potential cases of convergence in these characteristics among the genera discussed. While we hope the data presented here are valuable contributions to avian natural history, we acknowledge that a general lack of understanding of the selec- BERTONI, A. DE W. 1901. Aves nuevas del Paraguay: catálogo tive forces affecting nest architecture throughout the neotropical avifauna precludes us from reaching more comprehensive taxonomic conclusions.

Acknowledgments

The studies of HFG are funded in part by Matt Kaplan and John V. Moore through the Population Biology Foundation, National Geographic Society grant #W38-08, Field Guides Inc., and the Maryland Ornithological Society. ASU would like to thank Agustina Arcos and members of Fundación Imaymana and the Natural History of Ecuador's Mainland Avifauna Group for their constant support in the field study of the natural history of birds. The studies of GAL are funded by the Dexter

Fellowships in Tropical Conservation Biology, Alexander Skutch Award (Association of Field Ornithol-

- por Leptopogon amaurocephalus (Aves, Tyrannidae), em fragmentos de Mata Atlântica em Minas Gerais. Unpublished Masters Thesis, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil.
- Nesting biology of the Gray-hooded Flycatcher (Mionectes rufiventris). Ornitología Neotropical 11:223-230.
- noplex barbtails (Furnariidae). Condor 109:399-407.
- BELCHER, C. & G. D. SMOOKER. 1937. Birds of the colony of Trinidad and Tobago. Part V. Ibis Series 14,1:225-249.
- BENCKE, G. A. 1995. The nest of the Grey-headed Flycatcher Mionectes rufiventris. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 115:105-108.
- de las aves del Paraguay. Anales Científicos Paraguayos, número 1.
- CHAPMAN, F. M. 1917. The distribution of bird-life in Colombia; a contribution to the biological survey of South America. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 36:3-729.
- CHERRIE, G. K. 1895. Exploraciones zoológicas efectuadas en el Valle del Rio Naranjo en el año de 1893: aves. Instituto Físico-Geográfico Nacional (Costa Rica), San José, Costa Rica.
- COLLINS, C. T. 2010. A review of natal pterylosis of asserines: useful information or avian marginalia? Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 130:96–101.
- COLLINS, C. T. & T. P. RYAN. 1995. The biology of the Cinnamon Flycatcher Pyrrhomyias cinnamomea in Venezuela. Ornitologia Neotropical 6:19-25.
- CORY, C. B. & C. E. HELLMAYR. 1927. Catalogue of birds of the Americas and the adjacent islands. Part V. Tyrannidae. Zoological series, Field Museum of Natural History Publication 242.

- DABBENE, R.1919 Nido y huevos del Tiránido Phylloscartes Isler, M. L., P. R. Isler, B. M. Whitney, K. J. Zimmer & A. Whitventralis angustirostris (Lafr. et D'Orb.). Hornero 1:292.
- DOBBS, R. C. & H. F. GREENEY. 2006. Nesting and foraging ecology of the Rufous-breasted Flycatcher (Leptopogon rufipectus). Ornitología Neotropical 17:173-181.
- DOBBS, R. C., H. F. GREENEY & P. R. MARTIN. 2003. The nest and KIRWAN, G. M. 2009. Notes on the breeding biology and seanesting behavior of the Rusty-winged Barbtail Premnornis guttuligera. Wilson Bulletin 115:367-373.
- Euler, C. 1900. Descripção de ninhos e ovos das aves do Bra- Kirwan, G. M., J. M. Barnett, M. Ferreira de Vasconcelos, M. zil. Revista do Museu Paulista 4:9-148.
- FARNSWORTH, A., D. LEBBIN & E. DE JUANA. 2016. Ochraceousbreasted Flycatcher (Myiophobus ochraceiventris). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. & de Juana, E. Edicions, Barcelona. (retrieved from http://www.hbw.com/ node/57331 on 29 February 2016)
- FITZPATRICK, J. W. 2004. Tyrant Flycatchers (Tyrannidae) In: J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott & D. A. Christie (eds). Handbook of the tails. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- GREENEY, H. F. 2006. The nest, eggs, and nestlings of the Rusoutheastern Ecuador. Ornitología Neotropical 17:589-592.
- GREENEY, H. F. 2009. A nest of Marble-faced Bristle-Tyrant, MOORE, R. T. 1944. Nesting of the Brown-capped with comparative comments on nests of related genera. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 121:631-634.
- GREENEY, H. F., C. DINGLE, R. C. DOBBS & P. R. MARTIN. 2006. Natural history of the Streak-necked Flycatcher Mionectes striaticollis in north-east Ecuador. Cotinga 25:59-64.
- GREENEY, H. F., R. C. DOBBS & R. A. GELIS. 2005a. The nest, eggs, nestlings, and parental care of the Bronze-olive Pygmy Tyrant (Pseudotriccus pelzelni). Ornitología Neotropical 16:511-518.
- GREENEY, H. F., R. C. DOBBS, M. JUIÑA & M. LYSINGER.2005b. ONIKI, Y. & E. O. WILLIS.1980. A nest of the Ringed Antpipit Nests and eggs of Olive-chested Flycatcher (Myiophobus breeding of Bran-colored Flycatcher (M. fasciatus) in western Ecuador. Boletín de la Sociedad Antioqueña de Ornitología 15:89-99.
- GREENEY, H. F. & R. A. GELIS. 2008. Further breeding records PRUM, R. O. 1990. Phylogenetic analysis of the evolution of from the Ecuadorian Amazonian lowlands. Cotinga 29:62-68.
- HAVERSCHMIDT, F. 1962. Notes on some Suriname breeding REMOLD, H. G. & M. B. RAMOS-NETO. 1995. A nest of the birds. Ardea 50:173-179.
- HILTY, S. L. & W. L. BROWN. 1986. A guide to the birds of Colombia. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA.
- IHERING, H. VON. 1904. The biology of the Tyrannidae with respect to their systematic arrangement. Auk 21:313-322.
- ISLER, M. L., P. R. ISLER & B. M. WHITNEY. 1998. Use of vocalizations to establish species limits in antbirds Passeriformes; (Thamnophilidae). Auk 115:577-590.

- TAKER. 2009. Species limits in antbirds (Aves: Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae): an evaluation of Frederickena unduligera (Undulated Antshrike) based on vocalizations. Zootaxa 2305:61-68.
- sonality of some Brazilian birds. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 17:121-136.
- A. RAPOSO, S. D. NETO & I. ROESLER. 2004. Further comments on the avifauna of the middle São Francisco Valley, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 124:207-220.
- (eds.). Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive. Lynx KIRWAN, G. M., A. BODRATI & K. COCKLE. 2010. The nest of the Bay-ringed Tyrannulet (Phylloscartes sylviolus), a littleknown Atlantic Forest endemic, supports a close relationship between Phylloscartes and Pogonotriccus. Ornitología Neotropical 21:397-408.
- Birds of the World. Volume 9: Cotingas to pipits and wag- LANYON, W. E. 1988. A phylogeny of the thirty-two genera in the Elaenia assemblage of tyrant flycatchers. American Museum Novitates 2914.
- fous-headed Pygmy-Tyrant (Pseudotriccus ruficeps) in MILLER, E. T. & H. F. GREENEY. 2008. Clarifying the nest architecture of the Silvicultrix clade of Ochthoeca chattyrants (Tyrannidae). Ornitología Neotropical 19:361-370.
 - Leptopogon in Mexico. Condor 46:6-8.
 - OHLSON, J., J. FJELDSÅ & P. G. P. ERICSON. 2008. Tyrant flycatchers comming out in the open: phylogeny and ecological radiation of Tyrannidae (Aves, Passeriformes). Zoologica Scripta 37:315-335.
 - Ohlson, J., J. Fjeldså & P. G. P. Ericson. 2009. A new genus for three species of tyrant flycatchers (Passeriformes, Tyrannidae) formerly placed in Myiobius. Zootaxa 2290:36 -40.
 - (Corythopis torquata). Wilson Bulletin 92:126-127.
- cryptoxanthus) in eastern Ecuador, with comments on Peralta, N. A., G. A. LONDOÑO & C. D. CADENA. 2011. El nido, los huevos y el comportamiento de incubación del mosquero pechiocre (Nephelomyias ochraceiventris; Tyrannidae). Ornitología Neotropical 22:59-67.
 - display behavior in the Neotropical manakins (Aves: Pipridae). Ethology 84:202-231.
 - Restinga Tyrannulet Phylloscartes kronei. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 115:239-240.
 - Remsen Jr., J. V., C. D. Cadena, A. Jaramillo, M. Nores, J. F. PACHECO, J. PÉREZ-EMAN, M. B. ROBBINS, T. S. SCHULENBERG, F. G. STILES, D. F. STOTZ & K. J. ZIMMER. 2016. A classification of the bird species of South America. American Ornithologists' Union. http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/ SACCBaseline.html (accessed 8 March 2014).

Greeney et al.

RHEINDT, F. E., J. A. NORMAN & L. CHRISTIDIS. 2008. TACZANOWSKI, L. 1884. Ornithologie du Pérou. Tome Phylogenetic relationships of tyrant-flycatchers (Aves: deuxième. R. Friedländer & Sohn, Berlin, Germany. Tyrannidae), with an emphasis on the elaeniine TRAYLOR, M. A., JR. (ed.) 1979. Check-list of birds of the World. assemblage. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46:88 Volume VIII. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard, -101. Cambridge, Massechussettes. RIDGELY, R. S. & P. J. GREENFIELD. 2001. The birds of Ecuador. WHITTINGHAM, M. J. 1994. Observations at a nest of the Pacific Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, USA. Royal Flycatcher Onychorhynchus coronatus coronatus. RIDGWAY, R. 1905. Descriptions of some new genera of Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 114: 131-132. Tyrannidae, Pipridae, and Cotingidae. Proceedings of the WILLIS, E. O., D. WECHSLER & Y. ONIKI. 1978. On behavior and Biological Society of Washington 18:207-210. nesting of McConnell's Flycatcher (Pipromorpha SCLATER, P. I. 1888. Catalogue of the birds in the British macconnelli): does female rejection lead to male Museum, Volume 14. Trustees of the British Museum, promiscuity? Auk 95:1-8. ZIMMER, J. T. 1939. Studies of Peruvian birds 31, Notes on the London, UK. SHELDON, F. H. & D. W. WINKLER. 1999. Nest architecture and genera Myiotriccus, Pyrrhomyias, Myiophobus, Orychoavian systematics. Auk 116:875-877. rhynchus, Platyrinchus, Cnipodectes, Sayornis, and Nuttal-SIMON, J. E. & S. PACHECO.1996. Reprodução de Corythopis lornis. American Museum Novitates 1043. delalandi (Lesson, 1830) (Aves, Tyrannidae). Revista Brasi- Zyskowski, K. & R. O. PRUM. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of Neotropical ovenbirds lera de Biología 56:671-676. the nest architecture of SIMON, J. E.& S. PACHECO. 2005. On the standardization of (Furnariidae). Auk 116:891-911. nest descriptions of neotropical birds. Revista Brasileira de Zyskowski, K. & H. F. GREENEY. 2010. Review of nest Ornitologia 13:143-154. architecture in *Thripadectes* treehunters (Furnariidae) with SKUTCH, A. F. 1960. Life histories of Central American birds II. descriptions of new nests from Ecuador. Condor 112:176-Pacific Coast Avifauna 34. Cooper Ornithological Club, 182.

Recibido: 16 de diciembre de 2013 Aceptado: 24 de abril de 2016

Berkeley, CA, USA.